

## YAHWEH, THE GOD OF ISRAEL, IS WITH US!

“Cry aloud, spare not, lift up thy voice like a trumpet, and shew my people their transgression, and the house of Jacob their sins ...”

( [Isaiah 58: 1](#) )

# Sermon Notes

Study Carefully & Study Prayerfully

## Evolution: Fact Or Fallacy?

Millions of people believe that this universe started with a **big bang**; and that after billions of years life on earth evolved in all its complexity - **of its own accord!** Is this the case? Are living creatures able to bring themselves into being and then change from one kind to another? Have earth's myriad life forms: plants, insects, birds, animals and mankind all evolved from a common ancestor? Is there evidence to support this notion? Or is our generation being taken in by the greatest hoax of all time - **the theory of evolution?**

Listed below are a few eye-opening quotations by **prominent scientists** and **thinkers** of our time. They are worthy of consideration. We could list many, many more by **palaeontologists, geologists, astronomers, chemists, physicists, mathematicians, cosmologists, zoologists, geneticists and physicians:** but the sheer volume of quotations would exhaust the reader. Those listed below should suffice to prove our point that the **theory of evolution** is not as fool-proof as it is cracked up to be, and hundreds of scientists are distancing themselves from it every year. After all, who wants to be associated with a theory if it's wrong? Driving down the wrong highway will never get you to your destination. Now to the quotations. **Bear in mind that they are made by prominent scientists and scholars of our day.**

- **“I have little hesitation in saying that a sickly pall now hangs over the big bang theory.”**  
Sir. Fred Hoyle, - Astronomer, Cosmologist, and Mathematician, Cambridge University
- **“The pathetic thing is that we have scientists who are trying to prove evolution, which no scientist can ever prove.”**  
Dr. Robert Millikan, - Nobel Prize Winner and Eminent Evolutionist
- **“The theory of evolution suffers from grave defects, which are more and more apparent as**

**time advances. It can no longer square with practical scientific knowledge.”**

**Dr A Fleishmann**, - Zoologist, Erlangen University

- **“It is good to keep in mind ... that nobody has ever succeeded in producing even one new species by the accumulation of micromutations. Darwin’s theory of natural selection has never had any proof, yet it has been universally accepted.”**

**Prof. R. Goldschmidt**, - PhD, DSc Prof. Zoology, University of Calif. In Material Basis of Evolution Yale Univ. Press

- **“The theory of the transmutation of species is a scientific mistake, untrue in its facts, unscientific in its method, and mischievous in its tendency.”**

**Prof. J Agassiz**, - of Harvard in Methods of Study in Natural History

- **“Evolution is baseless and quite incredible.”**

**Dr. Ambrose Fleming**, - President, British Assoc. Advancement of Science, In The Unleashing of Evolutionary Thought

- **“Overwhelming strong proofs of intelligent and benevolent design lie around us ... The atheistic idea is so nonsensical that I cannot put it into words.”**

**Lord Kelvin**, - Vict. Inst., 124, p267

- **“It is possible (and, given the Flood, probable) that materials which give radiocarbon dates of tens of thousands of radiocarbon years could have true ages of many fewer calendar years.”**

**Gerald Aardsman**, - Ph.D., Physicist and C-14 Dating Specialist

- **“We have to admit that there is nothing in the geological records that runs contrary to the views of conservative creationists.”**

**Edmund Ambrose**, - Evolutionist

- **“The best physical evidence that the earth is young is the dwindling resource that evolutionists refuse to admit is dwindling ... the magnetic energy in the field of the earth’s dipole magnet ... To deny that it is a dwindling resource is phoney science.”**

**Thomas Barnes**, - Ph.D., Physicist

- **“No matter how numerous they may be, mutations do not produce any kind of evolution.”**

**Pierre-Paul Grasse**, - Evolutionist

- **“The likelihood of the formation of life from inanimate matter is one to a number with 40,000 noughts after it ... It is big enough to bury Darwin and the whole theory of evolution ... if the beginnings of life were not random, they must therefore have been the product of purposeful intelligence.”**

**Sir Fred Hoyle**, - Astronomer, Cosmologist and Mathematician, Cambridge University

- **“It is easy enough to make up stories, of how one form gave rise to another, and to find reasons why the stages should be favoured by natural selection. But such stories are not part of science, for there is no way of putting them to the test.”**

**Luther D. Sutherland**, - Darwin's Enigma, Master Books 1988, p89

- **“Is it really credible that random processes could have constructed a reality, the smallest element of which - a functional protein or gene - is complex beyond ... anything produced by the intelligence of man?”**

**Michael Denton**, - Molecular Biologist Evolutionist: A Theory in Crisis (London: Burnett Books, 1985) p 342.

- **“When I make an incision with my scalpel, I see organs of such intricacy that there simply hasn't been enough time for natural evolutionary processes to have developed them.”**

**C. Everett Koop**, - Former US Surgeon General

- **“Modern apes ... seem to have sprung out of nowhere. They have no yesterday, no fossil record. And the true origin of modern humans ... is, if we are to be honest with ourselves, an equally mysterious matter.”**

**Lyll Watson**, - Ph.D., Evolutionist

- **“Although bacteria are tiny, they display biochemical, structural and behavioural complexities that outstrip scientific description. In keeping with the current microelectronics revolution, it may make more sense to equate their size with sophistication rather than with simplicity ... Without bacteria life on earth could not exist in its present form.”**

**James A. Shipiro**, - Bacteria As Multicellular Organisms, Scientific America, Vol.258, No.6 (June 1988)

- **“Eighty to eighty-five percent of earth's land surface does not have even 3 geological periods appearing in 'correct' consecutive order ... it becomes an overall exercise of gargantuan special pleading and imagination for the evolutionary-uniformitarian paradigm to maintain that there ever were geologic periods.”**

**John Woodmorappe**, - Geologist

- **“That a mindless, purposeless, chance process such as natural selection, acting on the sequels of recombinant DNA or random mutation, most of which are injurious or fatal, could fabricate such complexity and organization as the vertebrate eye, where each component part must carry out its own distinctive task in a harmoniously functioning optical unit, is inconceivable. The absence of transitional forms between the invertebrates retina and that of the vertebrates poses another difficulty. Here there is a great gulf fixed**

**which remains inviolate with no seeming likelihood of ever being bridged. The total picture speaks of intelligent creative design of an infinitely high order.”**

**H. S. Hamilton, - (MD) The Retina of The Eye - An Evolutionary Road Block**

- **“My attempts to demonstrate evolution by an experiment carried on for more than 40 years have completely failed.”**

**N. H. Nilson, - Famous Botanist and Evolutionist**

- **“None of five museum officials could offer a single example of a transitional series of fossilised organisms that would document the transformation of one basically different type to another.”**

**Luther Sunderland, - Science Researcher**

- **“The entire hominid collection known today would barely cover a billiard table, but it has spawned a science because it is distinguished by two factors which inflate its apparent relevance far beyond its merits. First, the fossils hint at the ancestry of a supremely self-important animal - ourselves. Secondly, the collection is so tantalizingly incomplete, and the specimens themselves often so fragmented and inconclusive, that more can be said about what is missing than about what is present. Hence the amazing quantity of literature on the subject ever since Darwin’s work inspired the notion that fossils linking modern man and extinct ancestor would provide the most convincing proof of human evolution, preconceptions have led evidence by the nose in the study of fossil man.”**

**(John Reader, - Whatever Happened to Zinjanthropus? New Scientist Vol. 89, No.12446 (March 26,1981) pp 802-805**

- **“The evolutionist thesis has become more stringently unthinkable than ever before.”**

**Wolfgang Smith, - Ph.D.**

- **“The only competing explanation for the order we all see in the biological world is the notion of Special Creation.”**

**Niles Eldridge, - Ph.D., Palaeontologist and Evolutionist, American Museum of Natural History**

## **Darwin’s Own Confession**

- **“Not one change of species into another is on record ... we cannot prove that a single species has been changed.”**

**Charles Darwin, - My Life & Letters**

- **“To suppose that the eye with all its inimitable contrivances for adjusting the focus to different distances, for admitting different amounts of light and for the correction of spherical and chromatic aberration, could have been formed by natural selection, seems, I**

**Freely Confess, Absurd In The Highest Degree.”**

**Charles Darwin**, - Origin of Species, Chapter “Difficulties”

- **“A growing number of respectable scientists are defecting from the evolutionist camp ... moreover, for the most part these ‘experts’ have abandoned Darwinism, not on the basis of religious faith or biblical persuasions, but on scientific grounds, and in some instances, regretfully.”**  
**Wolfgang Smith**, - Ph.D., Physicist and Mathematician
- **“As yet we have not been able to track the phylogenetic history of a single group of modern plants from its beginning to the present.”**  
**Chester A. Arnold**, - Professor of Botany and Curator of Fossil Plants, University of Michigan, An Introduction to Paleobotany New York: McGraw-Hill, 1947, p.7
- **“The more scientists have searched for the transitional forms that lie between species, the more they have been frustrated.”**  
**John Adler with John Carey**, - Is Man a Subtle Accident, Newsweek, Vol.96, No.18 November 3, 1980, p.95
- **“...most people assume that fossils provide a very important part of the general argument in favour of Darwinian interpretations of the history of life. Unfortunately, this is not strictly true.”**  
**Dr. David Raup**, - Curator of Geology, Field Museum of Natural History in Chicago
- **“Despite the bright promise that palaeontology provides means of ‘seeing’ Evolution, it has provided some nasty difficulties for evolutionists, the most notorious of which is the presence of ‘gaps’ in the fossil record. Evolution requires intermediate forms between species and palaeontology does not provide them.”**  
**David Kitts**, - Ph.D. Palaeontology and Evolutionary Theory, Evolution, Vol. 28 Sept. 1974 p.467
- **“Hundreds of scientists who once taught their university students that the bottom line on origins had been figured out and settled are today confessing that they were completely wrong. They’ve discovered that their previous conclusions, once held so fervently, were based on very fragile evidences and suppositions which have since been refuted by new discoveries. This has necessitated a change in their basic philisophical position on origins. Others are admitting great weaknesses in evolution theory.”**  
**Luther D. Sutherland**, - Darwin's Enigma: Fossils and Other Problems, 4th edition Santee, California: Master Books, 1988 pp.7-8
- **“The fact that a theory so vague, so insufficiently verifiable, and so far from the criteria otherwise applied in ‘hard’ science has become a dogma can only be explained on**

**sociological grounds.”**

**Ludwig von Bertalanffy, - Biologist**

- **“Micromutations do occur, but the theory that these alone can account for evolutionary change is either falsified, or else it is an unfalsifiable, hence metaphysical theory. I suppose that nobody will deny that it is a great misfortune if an entire branch of science becomes addicted to a false theory. But this is what has happened in biology: ... I believe that one day the Darwinian myth will be ranked the greatest deceit in the history of science. When this happens many people will pose the question: How did this ever happen?”**  
**S. Lovtrup, - Darwinism: The Refutation of a Myth London: Croom Helm, p.422**
- **“If one allows the unquestionably largest experimenter to speak, namely nature, one gets a clear and incontrovertible answer to the question about the significance of mutations for the formation of species and evolution. They disappear under the competitive conditions of natural selection, as soap bubbles burst in a breeze.”**  
**Herbert Nilson, - Evolutionist, Synthetische Artbildung Lund, Sweden:Verlag CWK Gleerup Press, 1953, p 174**
- **“In all the thousands of fly-breeding experiments carried out all over the world for more than fifty years, a distinct new species has never been seen to emerge ... or even a new enzyme.”**  
**Gordon Taylor, - The Great Evolution Mystery New York: Harper and Row, 1983, pp 34, 38**
- **“The uniform, continuous transformation of Hyracotherium into Equus, so dear to the hearts of generations of textbook writers, never happened in nature.”**  
**George Simpson, - Palaeontologist and Evolutionist**

## **Fossils**

- **“As is well known, most fossil species appear instantaneously in the fossil record.”**  
**Tom Kemp, - Oxford University**
- **“The fossil record pertaining to man is still so sparsely known that those who insist on positive declarations can do nothing more than jump from one hazardous surmise to another and hope that the next dramatic discovery does not make them utter fools ... Clearly some refuse to learn from this. As we have seen, there are numerous scientists and popularizers today who have the temerity to tell us that there is ‘no doubt’ how man originated: if only they had the evidence...”**  
**William R. Fix, - The Bone Pedlars, New York: Macmillan Publishing Company, 1984, p.150**
- **“The curious thing is that there is a consistency about the fossil gaps; the fossils are missing**

**in all the important places.”**

**Francis Hitching**, - Archaeologist

- **“The intelligent layman has long suspected circular reasoning in the use of rocks to date fossils and fossils to date rocks. The geologist has never bothered to think of a good reply.”**  
**J. O’ Rourke**, - In The American Journal of Science
- **“In most people’s minds, fossils and Evolution go hand in hand. In reality, fossils are a great embarrassment to Evolutionary theory and offer strong support for the concept of Creation. If Evolution were true, we should find literally millions of fossils that show how one kind of life slowly and gradually changed to another kind of life. But missing links are the trade secret, in a sense, of palaeontology. The point is, the links are still missing. What we really find are gaps that sharpen up the boundaries between kinds. It’s those gaps which provide us with the evidence of Creation of separate kinds. As a matter of fact, there are gaps between each of the major kinds of plants and animals. Transition forms are missing by the millions. What we do find are separate and complex kinds, pointing to Creation.”**  
**Dr. Gary Parker**, - Biologist/Palaeontologist and Former Ardent Evolutionist
- **“Evolution requires intermediate forms between species and palaeontology does not provide them.”**  
**David Kitts**, - Palaeontologist and Evolutionist
- **“... I still think that, to the unprejudiced, the fossil record of plants is in favour of special creation. Can you imagine how an orchid, a duckweed and a palm tree have come from the same ancestry, and have we any evidence for this assumption? The evolutionist must be prepared with an answer, but I think that most would break down before an inquisition.”**  
**Dr. Eldred Corner**, - Professor of Botany at Cambridge University, England: Evolution in Contemporary Botanical Thought Chicago: Quadrangle Books, 1961, p.97)
- **“Fossils are a great embarrassment to Evolutionary theory and offer strong support for the concept of Creation.”**  
**Gary Parker**, - Ph.D., Biologist/Palaeontologist and Former Evolutionist
- **“So firmly does the modern geologist believe in evolution up from simple organisms to complex ones over huge time spans, that he is perfectly willing to use the theory of evolution to prove the theory of evolution [p.128] ... one is applying the theory of evolution to prove the correctness of evolution. For we are assuming that the oldest formations contain only the most primitive and least complex organisms, which is the base assumption of Darwinism ... [p.127] If we now assume that only simple organisms will occur in old formations, we are assuming the basic premise of Darwinism to be correct. To use, therefore, for dating purposes, the assumption that only simple organisms will be present in old formations is to thoroughly beg the whole question. It is arguing in a circle [p.128].”**

**Arthur E. Wilder-Smith**, - Man's Origin, Man's Destiny: Harold Shaw Publishers, 1968, pp127-8

- **“It cannot be denied that from a strictly philosophical standpoint, geologists are here arguing in a circle. The succession of organisms has been determined by the study of their remains imbedded in the rocks, and the relative ages of the rocks are determined by the remains of the organisms they contain.”**

**R. H. Rastall**, - Lecturer In Economic Geology, Cambridge University: Encyclopaedia Britannica, Vol.10. Chicago: William Benton, Publisher, 1956, p.168

- **“I admit that an awful lot of that [fantasy] has gotten into the textbooks as though it were true. For instance, the most famous example still on exhibit downstairs [in the American Museum of Natural History] is the exhibit on horse evolution prepared fifty years ago. That has been presented as literal truth in textbook after textbook. Now, I think that that is lamentable, particularly because the people who propose these kinds of stories themselves may be aware of the speculative nature of some of the stuff. But by the time it filters down to the textbooks, we've got science as truth and we have a problem.”**

**Dr. Niles Eldredge**, - Palaeontologist and Evolutionist

## DNA

DNA is Deoxyribo Nucleic Acid. **Chromosomes** are thread-like structures made of DNA and protein. There are **46 chromosomes in man**.

- **“The set of genetic instructions for humans is roughly three billion letters long.”**  
**Miroslav Radman & Robert Wagner**, - The High Fidelity of DNA Duplication, Scientific America, Vol. 259, No.2 August 1988, pp40-46
- **“DNA and the molecules that surround it form a truly superb mechanism - a miniaturised marvel. The information is so compactly stored that the amount of DNA necessary to code all the people living on our planet might fit into a space no larger than an aspirin tablet.”**  
**Paul S. Taylor**, - In The Illustrated Origins Answer Book Page 23
- **“... Life cannot have had a random beginning ... The trouble is that there are about two thousand enzymes, and the chance of obtaining them all in a random trial is only one part in 10 to the power of 40,000, an outrageously small probability that could not be faced even if the whole universe consisted of organic soup. If one is not prejudiced either by social beliefs or by a scientific training into the conviction that life originated on the Earth, this simple calculation wipes the idea entirely out of court ...”**  
**Fred Hoyle & Chandra Wickramasinghe**, - Evolution From Space

- **“The chance that useful DNA molecules would develop without a Designer are apparently zero. Then let me conclude by asking which came first - the DNA (which is essential for the synthesis of proteins) or the protein enzyme (DNA-polymerase) without which DNA synthesis is nil? ... there is virtually no chance that chemical ‘letters’ would spontaneously produce coherent DNA and protein ‘words’.”**

**George Howe, - Expert In Biology Sciences**

- **“...An intelligible communication via radio signal from some distant galaxy would be widely hailed as evidence of an intelligent source. Why then doesn't the message sequence on the DNA molecule also constitute prima facie evidence for an intelligent source? After all, DNA information is not just analogous to a message sequence such as Morse code, it is such a message sequence.”**

**Charles B. Thaxton, Walter L. Bradley & Robert L. Olsen: - The Mystery of Life's Origin, Reassessing Current Theories (New York Philosophical Library 1984) pp 211-212**

- **“Generation after generation, through countless cell divisions, the genetic heritage of living things is scrupulously preserved in DNA ... All of life depends on the accurate transmission of information. As genetic messages are passed through generations of dividing cells, even small mistakes can be life-threatening ... if mistakes were as rare as one in a million, 3000 mistakes would be made during each duplication of the human genome. Since the genome replicates about a million billion times in the course of building a human being from a single fertilised egg, it is unlikely that the human organism could tolerate such a high rate of error. In fact, the actual rate of mistakes is more like one in 10 billion.”**

**Miroslav Radman & Robert Wagner, - The High Fidelity of DNA Duplication... Scientific America. Vol. 299, No 2 August 1988, pp 40-44. Quote Is From Page 24**

- **“In the meantime, the educated public continues to believe that Darwin has provided all the relevant answers by the magic formula of random mutations plus natural selection - quite unaware of the fact that random mutations turned out to be irrelevant and natural selection a tautology.”**

**Arthur Koestler, - Author**

- **“Evolution lacks a scientifically acceptable explanation of the source of the precisely planned codes within cells without which there can be no specific proteins and hence, no life.”**

**David A. Kaufman, - Ph.D., University of Florida, Gainesville**

- **“Once we see, however, that the probability of life originating at random is so utterly minuscule as to make it absurd, it becomes sensible to think that the favourable properties of physics on which life depends are in every respect deliberate .... It is therefore almost inevitable that our own measure of intelligence must reflect ... higher intelligences ... even to the limit of God ... such a theory is so obvious that one wonders why it is not widely accepted**

**as being self-evident. The reasons are psychological rather than scientific.”**

**Sir Fred Hoyle, - Well-Known British Mathematician, Astronomer & Cosmologist**

- **“Ultimately, the Darwinian theory of evolution is no more nor less than the great cosmogenic myth of the twentieth century.”**

**Michael Denton, - ‘Evolution, A Theory in Crisis’ Page 358**

- **“Any suppression which undermines and destroys that very foundation on which scientific methodology and research was erected, evolutionist or otherwise, cannot and must not be allowed to flourish ... It is a confrontation between scientific objectivity and ingrained prejudice - between logic and emotion - between fact and fiction ... In the final analysis, objective scientific logic has to prevail - no matter what the final result is - no matter how many time-honoured idols have to be discarded in the process ... After all, it is not the duty of science to defend the theory of evolution and stick by it to the bitter end -no matter what illogical and unsupported conclusions it offers ... If in the process of impartial scientific logic, they find that creation by outside intelligence is the solution to our quandary, then let’s cut the umbilical chord that tied us down to Darwin for such a long time. It is choking us and holding us back ... Every single concept advanced by the theory of evolution (and amended thereafter) is imaginary as it is not supported by the scientifically established probability concepts. Darwin was wrong... The theory of evolution may be the worst mistake made in science.”**

**I. L. Cohen, - Darwin Was Wrong - A Study in Probabilities P.O. Box 231, Greenvale, New York 11548: New Research Publications, Inc. pp 6-8, 209-210, 214-215. I.L.Cohen, Member of the New York Academy of Sciences and Officer of the Archaeological Institute of America.**

- **“The notion that ... the operating programme of a living cell could be arrived at by chance in a primordial soup here on earth is evidently nonsense of a high order.”**

**Sir Fred Hoyle, - Evolutionist**

- **“The theory of Evolution ... will be one of the great jokes in the history books of the future. Posterity will marvel that so flimsy and dubious an hypothesis could be accepted with the incredible credulity it has.”**

**Malcolm Muggeridge, - Well-known Philosopher**

- **“We have had enough of the Darwinian fallacy. It is time that we cry: ‘The emperor has no clothes’.”**

**K. Hsu, - Geologist At The Geological Institute At Zurich**

- **“Far from being an established fact of science that it is so typically portrayed to be, evolution is, in reality, an unreasonable and unfounded hypothesis that is riddled with countless scientific fallacies.”**

**Scott M. Huse, - The Collapse of Evolution Baker Book House, Grand Rapids, Michigan, pp 127**

- **“Unfortunately many scientists and non-scientists have made Evolution into a religion, something to be defended against infidels. In my experience, many students of biology - professors and textbook writers included - have been so carried away with the arguments for Evolution that they neglect to question it. They preach it ... College students, having gone through such a closed system of education, themselves become teachers, entering high schools to continue the process, using textbooks written by former classmates or professors. High standards of scholarship and teaching break down. Propaganda and the pursuit of power replace the pursuit knowledge. Education becomes a fraud.”**  
George Kocan, - Evolution Isn't Faith But Theory, Chicago Tribune 9 Monday April 21 1980
- **“Scientists who go about teaching that Evolution is a fact of life are great con men, and the story they are telling may be the greatest hoax ever. In explaining Evolution we do not have one iota of fact.”**  
Dr. T. N. Tahmisian, - A Former U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Physiologist
- **“Evolution is a fairy tale for grown-ups. This theory has helped nothing in the progress of science. It is useless.”**  
Dr, Louise Bounoure. - Director of Research at the French National Centre for Scientific Research, Director of the Zoological Museum and former president of the Biological Society of Strasbourg
- **Arthur E. Wilder-Smith, - Ph.D.,D.Sc.,Dr.es.Sc., The Natural Sciences Know Nothing of Evolution (Santee, California: Master Books, p.154. Dr. Wilder-Smith's personal conclusion is as follows:**
  - **“I, as a scientist, must postulate a source of information to supply the teleonomy or know-how, I don't find it in the universe, and, therefore, I assume that it is transcendent to this universe. I believe, myself, in a living God who did it. I believe that this God, who supplied the information, revealed Himself in the form of a man - so that man could understand Him. We are made to understand. I want to understand God. But I can only do it if He comes down to my wavelength, the wavelength of man. I believe that God revealed Himself in the form of Christ, and that we can serve Him and know Him in our hearts as the source of the Logos - all information is necessary to make the universe and to make life itself ... Look at the beauty of nature around us. When you consider that it all grew out of matter injected with information of the type I have been describing, you can only be filled with wonder of the wisdom of a Creator, who, first of all, had the sense of beauty to do it, and then the technical ability. I am filled with wonder as I look at nature, to see how God technically did it and realized the beauty of His own soul in doing it. The Scripture teaches perfectly plainly, and it fits in with my science perfectly well, that the one who did called Himself THE LOGOS. That Logos was Jesus. Jesus called Himself the Creator who made everything - ‘for Him and by Him’. Now, if that is the case, then I am very happy and filled with joy that He made the Creation so beautiful**

**and that He also valued me enough to die for me, to become my Redeemer as well.”**

For further information on Wilder-Smith's views on Teleonomy send for The Scientific Alternative to Neo-Darwinian Evolutionary Theory: Information Sources PO Box 8000, Costa Mesa, California 92628 USA

## The Everlasting Gospel

One of the last messages to be preached to mankind is called the **Everlasting Gospel**. It is everlasting simply because the truth it encompasses will never cease to be relevant. The **Everlasting Gospel** is briefly described in the book of Revelation as follows:

Revelation 14: 6: *“And I saw another angel fly in the midst of heaven, having the everlasting gospel to preach unto them that dwell on the earth, and to every nation, and kindred, and tongue, and people,*  
7: *Saying with a loud voice, Fear God, and give glory to him; for the hour of his judgment is come: and worship him that made heaven, and earth, and the sea, and the fountains of waters.”*

It is plain to see that the **Everlasting Gospel** is not merely a call to “**fear God**”, (which means to revere Him, honour Him and obey Him with respectful awe) but it is a call to ‘**worship Him as the CREATOR, the One who made the heavens, the earth the sea and the fountains of waters.**’ In other words the ‘**Everlasting Gospel**’, as preached in these last days, is calling upon mankind to jettison the popular but totally fallacious theory of ‘**Evolution**’ and to accept the fact that the Almighty God of Israel ‘**made the heavens, the earth the sea and the fountains of waters.**’ In other words, the Everlasting Gospel is a call to worship the Creator; the One who made the universe in six literal days as the Bible teaches. The doctrine of **Special Creation** is a foundation teaching of Scripture which true science will always verify. The first two chapters of Genesis and the last two chapters of Revelation are about the Almighty's creative powers and the Everlasting Gospel is a call to humanity to accept these facts before it is too late.

**Those who accept that the Almighty created the heavens, the earth, the sea and the fountains of waters in six literal days will worship Him by keeping the seventh day Sabbath (Saturday) which is the divinely appointed memorial of His creation.**

Exodus 20: 8: *“Remember the sabbath day, to keep it holy.*

*9: Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work:*

*10: But the seventh day is the sabbath of the LORD thy God: in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates:*

*11: For in six days the LORD made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore the LORD blessed the sabbath day, and hallowed it.”*

We repeat: [The Everlasting Gospel](#) is a call to faith and obedience; especially obedience of the seventh day Sabbath command, which commemorates the creation of the universe! Pause dear reader and consider what you have just read.

## Conclusion

As we can see the theory of **Evolution** cannot be proved. It is hopelessly flawed and unworthy of serious consideration. The foregoing quotations, and we could have bombarded the reader with many, many more, make this fact obvious to the unprejudiced mind. The alternative theory of **Special Creation** also cannot be proved: but the abundance of evidence in the **natural world**, the **fossil records** and the **DNA code** of living creatures should lead the unbiased mind to the inevitable conclusion that of the two possibilities - **Special Creation is the more likely**. The fact is, God will not dance to anyone's puny request to prove His existence or power to create. Both these theories, therefore, are accepted by those who believe them - **purely on the basis of faith**. In effect each theory forms the basis of a religion.

1. One religion believing that the **Almighty God** created all matter, the physical laws of the universe and life in its myriad forms.
2. The other religion believing that there is no God in the universe, no supernatural Being and that **all the matter in the vast universe, all the complex laws contained therein and finally life itself** all came into existence of their own accord.

Basically these are the two alternative views on the origin of the universe and the myriad life forms in our world. There are no others. **There either is or there isn't a Creator!** It's as simple as that. Millions have settled for the first option - **Special Creation**. Sad to say it is seldom taught in the colleges of the West. Millions have settled for the second option - **Evolution**. This is taught in most western schools and colleges as though it were fact: but you now know that certainly isn't the case.

Which viewpoint society bases its faith upon eventually determines the behaviour of that society. If the youth are taught that they came from apes, then the **'law of the jungle' - the survival of the fittest** - will take over: and no one should be surprised at the moral collapse of society, particularly amongst the youth. They are merely living out the **'law of the jungle'** as taught to them by their elders.

If on the other hand we were made by God **'in His own image'** then we are accountable to Him for our actions. We cannot - yea we dare not - live as we please; because the Creator declares that there is coming a [Day of Judgment](#) when He will judge the world. On that awesome day every man, woman and child will have to give account of the deeds done in the body.

Further reading, see articles: [Creation](#) and [Creation/Evolution Book List](#)

---

**In The Son's Name For The Father's Glory**

Elders: Max W. Mader & Chris A. Yenni

AVITW - CANADA - USA  
HOME

---